

PATENT SPECIFICATION

Application Date: Dec. 23, 1938. No. 37410/38.

523,062

Complete Specification Left: Nov. 28, 1939.

Complete Specification Accepted: July 4, 1940.



PROVISIONAL SPECIFICATION

Improvements in or relating to Optical Objectives

We, TAYLOR, TAYLOR & HOBSON LIMITED, a Company registered under the Laws of Great Britain, and ARTHUR WARMISHAM, British Subject, both of 5 104, Stoughton Street, Leicester, do hereby declare the nature of this invention to be as follows:—

This invention relates to an anastigmatically corrected objective for photographic or projection or like purposes, of the kind comprising three axially aligned components, each consisting of a simple element, the middle component being dispersive and the two outer components 10 collective.

Attempts to design an objective of this kind with a high aperture, say F/2.5, have hitherto resulted in an objective having rather heavy residual zonal spherical aberration, thereby restricting their use to short focal lengths of 1 1/2 15 inches or less.

The present invention has for its object to provide an objective of this kind in which the same high aperture can be obtained with considerably improved zonal spherical aberration correction, or alternatively in which a still higher aperture can be obtained with the same 25 degree of residual zonal spherical aberration.

In the objective according to the invention each of the three components is made of a glass having a mean refractive index higher than 1.75 and preferably higher than 1.8. Various examples of glass having such high refractive index are given in British Patent Specification 35

No. 462,304, such glass having as its main constituents oxides of elements such as tungsten, tantalum, lanthanum, thorium, yttrium, zirconium, hafnium and columbium. 40

The sum of the numerical values of the radii of curvature of the front surface of the front component and the rear surface of the rear component is preferably greater than the equivalent focal length of the objective and less than 1.33 times such focal length. The total axial length of the objective between such two surfaces preferably lies between 55% and 85% of the equivalent focal length of the objective. 45 50

It is to be understood that the term "front" as herein used refers to the side of the objective nearer to the longer conjugate and the term "rear" to that nearer the shorter conjugate. 55

Numerical data of three convenient practical examples of objective according to the invention are given in the following tables, in which the radii of curvature of the individual surfaces are designated by R₁ R₂ . . . counting from the front, the positive sign indicating that the surface is convex towards the front and the negative sign that it is concave thereto, whilst the thicknesses of the individual elements along the axis are designated by D₁ D₂ D₃ and the axial air spaces between the components by S₁ S₂. The tables also give the mean refractive indices and the Abbé V numbers of the glasses used for the individual elements. 60 65 70 75

[Price 1/-]

Price 3s.

Price 2s.

Price 3s. 6d.

EXAMPLE I.

Equivalent focal length 1.000.		Relative aperture F/2.5.	
Radius	Thickness or Separation	Refractive Index n_D	Abbé V Number.
$R_1 + .4543$	$D_1 .200$	1.812	41.1
$R_2 - 5.000$	$S_1 .050$		
$R_3 - .9259$	$D_2 .061$	1.995	26.6
$R_4 + .4292$	$S_2 .100$		
$R_5 + 1.176$	$D_3 .200$	1.812	41.1
$R_6 - .6254$			

EXAMPLE II.

Equivalent focal length 1.000.		Relative aperture F/2.5.	
Radius	Thickness or Separation	Refractive Index n_D	Abbé V Number.
$R_1 + .4470$	$D_1 .1979$	1.893	30.8
$R_2 \infty$	$S_1 .0495$		
$R_3 - 1.164$	$D_2 .0604$	2.022	19.1
$R_4 + .3965$	$S_2 .0990$		
$R_5 + 1.414$	$D_3 .1979$	1.893	30.8
$R_6 - .6909$			

EXAMPLE III.

Equivalent focal length 1.000.		Relative aperture F/2.25.	
Radius	Thickness or Separation	Refractive Index n_D	Abbé V Number.
$R_1 + .6143$	$D_1 .200$	1.893	30.8
$R_2 \infty$	$S_1 .100$		
$R_3 - .6579$	$D_2 .061$	2.022	19.1
$R_4 + .5429$	$S_2 .050$		
$R_5 + 1.429$	$D_3 .200$	1.893	30.8
$R_6 - .4782$			

As will be seen, all three examples employ high index glass throughout, the glass used for the middle component in each case having higher refractive index and lower Abbé V number than that used for each of the two outer components. The sum of the numerical value of the two outermost radii is in Example I 1.0797, in Example II 1.1379 and in Example III 1.0925. The total axial length of the 10

objective is in Examples I and III .611 and in Example II .5647.

The first two examples give good zonal spherical aberration correction for aperture F/2.5, whilst in the third example a higher aperture F/2.25 is obtained at the expense of leaving a residual zonal

spherical aberration which restricts practical use of the objective to short focal lengths of $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches or less.

10

Dated this 23rd day of December, 1938.
A. F. PULLINGER,
Agent for the Applicants.

COMPLETE SPECIFICATION

Improvements in or relating to Optical Objectives

We, TAYLOR, TAYLOR & HOBSON LIMITED, a Company registered under the Laws of Great Britain, and ARTHUR WARMISHAM, British Subject, both of 104, Stoughton Street, Leicester, do hereby declare the nature of this invention and in what manner the same is to be performed, to be particularly described and ascertained in and by the following statement:—

This invention relates to an anastigmatically corrected objective for photographic or projection or like purposes, of the kind comprising three axially aligned components, each consisting of a simple element, the middle component being dispersive and the two outer components collective.

Attempts to design an objective of this kind with a high aperture, say F/2.5, have hitherto resulted in an objective having rather heavy residual zonal spherical aberration, thereby restricting their use to short focal lengths of $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches or less.

The present invention has for its object to provide an objective of this kind in which the same high aperture can be obtained with considerably improved zonal spherical aberration correction, or alternatively in which a still higher aperture can be obtained with the same degree of residual zonal spherical aberration.

In the objective according to the invention each of the three components is made of a glass having a mean refractive index higher than 1.75 and preferably higher than 1.8. Various examples of glass having such high refractive index are given in British Patent Specification No.

462,304, such glass having as its main constituents oxides of elements such as tungsten, tantalum, lanthanum, thorium, yttrium, zirconium, hafnium and columbium.

The sum of the numerical values of the radii of curvature of the front surface of the front component and the rear surface of the rear component is preferably greater than the equivalent focal length of the object and less than 1.33 times such focal length. The total axial length of the objective between such two surfaces preferably lies between 55% and 85% of the equivalent focal length of the objective.

It is to be understood that the term "front" as herein used refers to the side of the objective nearer to the longer conjugate and the term "rear" to that nearer the shorter conjugate.

Numerical data of three convenient practical examples of objective according to the invention (of which the first is illustrated in the accompanying drawing) are given in the following tables, in which the radii of curvature of the individual surfaces are designated by R_1, R_2, \dots counting from the front, the positive sign indicating that the surface is convex towards the front and the negative sign that it is concave thereto, whilst the thicknesses of the individual elements along the axis are designated by D_1, D_2, D_3 and the axial air spaces between the components by S_1, S_2 . The tables also give the mean refractive indices and the Abbé V numbers of the glasses used for the individual elements.

50

55

60

65

70

75

80

85

EXAMPLE I.

Equivalent focal length 1.000.		Relative aperture F/2.5.	
Radius	Thickness or Separation	Refractive Index n_D	Abbé V. Number.
$R_1 + .4543$	$D_1 .200$	1.812	41.1
$R_2 - 5.000$	$S_1 .050$		
$R_3 - .9259$	$D_2 .061$	1.995	26.6
$R_4 + .4292$	$S_2 .100$		
$R_5 + 1.176$	$D_3 .200$	1.812	41.1
$R_6 - .6254$			

EXAMPLE II.

Equivalent focal length 1.000.		Relative aperture F/2.5.	
Radius	Thickness or Separation	Refractive Index n_D	Abbé V. Number.
$R_1 + .4470$	$D_1 .1979$	1.893	30.8
$R_2 \infty$	$S_1 .0495$		
$R_3 - 1.164$	$D_2 .0604$	2.022	19.1
$R_4 + .3965$	$S_2 .0990$		
$R_5 + 1.414$	$D_3 .1979$	1.893	30.8
$R_6 - .6909$			

EXAMPLE III.

Equivalent focal length 1.000.		Relative aperture F/2.25.	
Radius	Thickness or Separation	Refractive Index n_D	Abbé V. Number.
$R_1 + .6143$	$D_1 .200$	1.893	30.8
$R_2 \infty$	$S_1 .100$		
$R_3 - .6579$	$D_2 .061$	2.022	19.1
$R_4 + .5429$	$S_2 .050$		
$R_5 + 1.429$	$D_3 .200$	1.893	30.8
$R_6 - .4782$			

As will be seen, all three examples employ high index glass throughout, the glass used for the middle component in each case having higher refractive index and lower Abbé V. number than that used for each of the two outer components. The sum of the numerical values of the two outermost radii is in Example I 1.0797, in Example II 1.1379 and in Example III 1.0925. The total axial 10

length of the objective is in Examples I and III .611 and in Example II .6047.

The first two examples give good zonal spherical aberration correction for aperture F/2.5, whilst in the third example a higher aperture F/2.25 is obtained at the expense of leaving a residual zonal spherical aberration which restricts practical use of the objective to short focal lengths of $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches or less.

Having now particularly described and ascertained the nature of our said invention and in what manner the same is to be performed, we declare that what we claim is:—

1. An optical objective of the kind described, in which each of the three components is made of a glass having a mean refractive index higher than 1.75 and preferably higher than 1.8.

2. An optical objective as claimed in Claim 1, in which the sum of the numerical values of the radii of curvature of the front surface of the front component and the rear surface of the rear component is greater than the equivalent focal length of the objective and less than 1.33 times such focal length.

3. An optical objective as claimed in Claim 1 or Claim 2, in which the total axial length of the objective lies between 55% and 85% of the equivalent focal length of the objective.

4. An optical objective having numerical data substantially as set forth in any one of the tables herein.

Dated this 28th day of November, 1939.
PÜLLINGER & MALET-VEALE,
Agents for the Applicants.

Leamington Spa: Printed for His Majesty's Stationery Office, by the Courier Press.—1940.

[This Drawing is a full-size reproduction of the Original.]

